

LIFE The Tough Get Going project: improving the efficiency of the PDO cheese production chains by a dedicated software

LIFE 16 ENV/IT/000225 – LIFE TTGG ENVIRONMENT - RESOURCE EFFICIENCY

LENS CONFERENCE

J. Famiglietti, C. Proserpio, P. Ravaglia POLITECNICO DI MILANO - Italy

Mexico City, 3-5 April 2019











Introduction

The European Union (EU) has mentioned that **in the near future** companies will be able/will have to communicate the environmental footprint on their product labels (Roadmap to a Resource Efficient Europe. European Commission, 2011).







Partnership and budget

BUDGET : 2,148,987 € (EU contribution 1,270,869 €)

DURATION : 4 years (July 2017 – June 2021)

COORDINATOR: Department of energy - Politecnico di Milano







Functional unit

10 g dry matter of cheese, consumed at home as a final product without cooking or further transformation (PEFCR Dairy products, The European Dairy Association, 2018).

System boundaries







Life Cycle Inventory- Mass balance







Life Cycle Inventory – The datasets

Data per 1 kg of Grana Padano (allocations between whey and cream were included).

Allocations		Raw milk production phase								
Co-products	%	Raw milk	5.56 kg	Transport raw milk	0.19 tkm					
Grana Padano	40.2%	Dairy processing and ripening phase								
Whev	44.4%	Salt	Water	Electricity	Heat					
Cream	15.4%	0.05 kg	12.20 kg	0.97 MJ	2.7 MJ					
		Refrigerant gases	Cleaning agents	Wastewater	Transport in input					
		0.01 g	0.01 kg 8.04 kg		0.028 tkm					
		Packaging phase								
		Polymer bag	Corrugate board	LDPE film	Flat pallet					
		37.00 g	51.00 g	3.00 g	5.00 g					
ALL DESCRIPTION		Food loss	5%	Food waste	7%					





Potenital environmental impacts

Functional unit 10g of dry matter (not 1 kg of cheese):

3 different impact categories out of 16:

- Climate Change (CC)
- Acidification (A)
- Mineral Fossil & Ren Resource Depletion (MF&RRD)







Improvement actions

Heat recovery in dairy: 10% reduction in heat consumption, 5% reduction in electricity consumption

Packaging optimization: reduction between 30% and 40% of the materials used

Potenital impacts	Characterization				Weighted			
	Units	Pre-value	Post-value	Delta	Units	Pre-value	Post-value	Delta
CC	kgCO ₂ eq.	1.55E-1	1.52E-1	1.6%	μPt	1.12E+0	1.10E+0	1,6%
А	molc H+-eq.	3.64E-3	3.63E-3	0,3%	μPt	5.56E+0	5.55E+0	0,3%
MF&RRD	kgSb-eq.	3.56E-7	3.28E-7	7,8%	μPt	2.35E-1	2.17E-1	7,8%
				TOTAL	μPt	6.90E+0	6.86E+0	0,8%





Conclusions

Raw milk production represents the main contribution

Mitigation solutions proposed had a low benefit

NEXT STEPS

Designing solutions (packaging, logistics) to reduce food waste (10% of total impact). Implementing actions to improve the production of raw milk (80% of total impact).

LIFE The Tough Get Going







J. Famiglietti, C. Proserpio, R. Ravaglia

jacopo.famiglietti@polimi.it carlo.proserpio@polimi.it pieter.ravaglia@polimi.it



Web page: www.lifettgg.eu







